

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/020,799	11/30/2001	Siu Man L. Cowan	22112 (3)	9508	
7590 12/30/2003			EXAMINER		
Patricia A. Coburn			PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL		
Battelle Pulmor	nary Therapeutics, Inc.				
Suite 100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1801 Watermark Drive			1616		
Columbus, OH	43215		·		

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ligation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			lication No.	Applicant(s)				
			020,799 	COWAN ET AL.  Art Unit				
	•		n N. Pryor	1616				
	The MAILING DATE of this communic		·					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>25 Septem</u>	ber 2003.					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
<ul> <li>4) Claim(s) 1-20 and 22 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) Claim(s) 20 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) Claim(s) 1-6,8,9,17-19 and 22 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) Claim(s) 7 and 10-16 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>								
•	on Papers		·					
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).</li> <li>Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.								
2) Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap			immary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/020,799

Art Unit: 1616

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. See rejection below.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6,8,9,17-19,22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Modi et al. Modi teaches a liquid formulation for nasal delivery to a patient comprising water (carrier), protein (insulin, cytokin), sodium lauryl –B-D-maltopyranoside (derivatized carbohydrate) and other compounds such as oleic acid (excipient) and antioxidant (excipient). See abstract, column 3 line 20 – column 4 line 3. Modi does not teach the formulation comprising the instant amount of carrier (water, organic compound) or excipient. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to determine the optimum amounts of carrier, organic solvent, and excipient. One would have been motivated to do this in order to develop a composition that would have been effective in treatment.

## Claim Objection / Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 7,10-16 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art does not teach or suggest instant

Page 3

formulation comprising Factor VIII, C8-trehalose and C8-glycopyranoside. The prior art does not teach or suggest the instant invention comprising suspension of protein. Claim 20 is allowable. The prior art does not teach or suggest the instant formulation comprising 70 to 80% ethanol.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

# Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 703 308-4691. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on 703-308-2927. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703 305-3592.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-

1235.

Alton Pryor

**Primary Examiner** 

AU 1616